

EXHIBIT 3

1 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
KURT A. FRANKLIN, SBN 172715
2 kfranklin@hansonbridgett.com
SAMANTHA WOLFF, SBN 240280
3 swolff@hansonbridgett.com
CAROLINE LEE, SBN 293297
4 cleee@hansonbridgett.com
JENNIFER ANIKO FOLDVARY, SBN 292216
5 jfoldvary@hansonbridgett.com
425 Market Street, 26th Floor
6 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 777-3200
7 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366

8 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
TYSON M. SHOWER, SBN 190375
9 tshower@hansonbridgett.com
LANDON D. BAILEY, SBN 240236
10 lbailey@hansonbridgett.com
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500
11 Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 442-3333
12 Facsimile: (916) 442-2348

13 OTTEN LAW, PC
VICTOR OTTEN, SBN 165800
14 vic@ottenlawpc.com
KAVITA TEKCHANDANI, SBN 234873
15 kavita@ottenlawpc.com
3620 Pacific Coast Highway, #100
16 Torrance, California 90505
Telephone: (310) 378-8533
17 Facsimile: (310) 347-4225

18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
CORY SPENCER, DIANA MILENA
19 REED, and COASTAL PROTECTION
RANGERS, INC.
20

21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
22 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**
23

24 CORY SPENCER, an individual;
25 DIANA MILENA REED, an
individual; and COASTAL
26 PROTECTION RANGERS, INC., a
27 California non-profit public benefit
corporation,
28

CASE NO. 2:16-cv-02129-SJO (RAOx)
**PLAINTIFF DIANA MILENA REED'S
SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO
INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE
PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT
BRANT BLAKEMAN**

1
2 Plaintiffs,

Complaint Filed: March 29, 2016
Trial Date: November 7, 2017

3 v.

4 LUNADA BAY BOYS; THE
5 INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE
6 LUNADA BAY BOYS, including but
7 not limited to SANG LEE, BRANT
8 BLAKEMAN, ALAN JOHNSTON
9 AKA JALIAN JOHNSTON,
10 MICHAEL RAE PAPAYANS,
11 ANGELO FERRARA, FRANK
12 FERRARA, CHARLIE FERRARA,
13 and N. F.; CITY OF PALOS
14 VERDES ESTATES; CHIEF OF
15 POLICE JEFF KEPLEY, in his
16 representative capacity; and DOES
17 1-10,

18 Defendants.

19 PROPOUNDING PARTY: Defendant BRANT BLAKEMAN

20 RESPONDING PARTY: Plaintiff DIANA MILENA REED

21 SET NO.: SUPPLEMENTAL

22 Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff
23 DIANA MILENA REED ("Responding Party") hereby submits these
24 supplemental objections and responses to Interrogatories, Set One,
25 propounded by Defendant BRANT BLAKEMAN ("Propounding Party").

26 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

27 Nothing in this response should be construed as an admission by
28 Responding Party with respect to the admissibility or relevance of any fact,
or of the truth or accuracy of any characterization or statement of any kind
contained in Propounding Party's Interrogatories. Responding Party has not

1 completed its investigation of the facts relating to this case, its discovery or
2 its preparation for trial. All responses and objections contained herein are
3 based only upon information that is presently available to and specifically
4 known by Responding Party. It is anticipated that further discovery,
5 independent investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional
6 facts and add meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new
7 factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to
8 substantial additions to, changes in and variations from the responses set
9 forth herein. The following objections and responses are made without
10 prejudice to Responding Party's right to produce at trial, or otherwise,
11 evidence regarding any subsequently discovered information. Responding
12 Party accordingly reserves the right to modify and amend any and all
13 responses herein as research is completed and contentions are made.

14 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES**

15 **INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

16 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
17 support your contention that BRANT BLAKEMAN participated in any way in
18 the "commission of enumerated 'predicate crimes'" as alleged in paragraph
19 5 of the Complaint, and for each such PERSON identified state all facts you
20 contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

21 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

22 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
23 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
24 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
25 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
26 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kniec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
27 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*

1 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
2 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) (“the court may order that [a contention]
3 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
4 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.”).

5 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
6 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
7 Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
8 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures
9 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
10 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
11 Ms. Reed on this topic.

12 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
13 This “interrogatory” contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
14 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
15 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

16 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
17 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party’s knowledge.

18 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
19 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
20 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
21 litigation strategy.

22 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
23 Party responds as follows:

24 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
25 other persons identified in Plaintiffs’ initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
26 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs motion for class
27 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:
28

1 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
2 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
3 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
4 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
5 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
6 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
7 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
8 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
9 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
10 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
11 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
12 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
13 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
14 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
15 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
16 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly." A Los Angeles
17 Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of the bluff
18 filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs believe that the Bay Boys take photos and/or
19 video tape people as a form of harassment and intimidation. Plaintiffs are
20 also informed and believe that a Lunada Bay local named Joshua Berstein
21 was taking pictures at the MLK 2014 paddle out. Plaintiffs are also informed
22 and believe that Berstein told several people after he photographed them,
23 "Now we know who you are." Plaintiffs believe that the conduct directed at
24 Reed by Blakeman and the individual Bay Boys is because she is a woman.
25 Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are numerous text messages
26 where the Bay Boys refer to Reed as a "bitch" and make sexual comments
27 about her.

28

1 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
2 the following:

3 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
4 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
5 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing. Immediately after
6 they parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men
7 drove by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright
8 were harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording
9 them on land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her
10 feel very uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was
11 witnessed by John MacHarg.

12 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
13 published an article called "Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
14 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says." Jordan Wright and Cory
15 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
16 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
17 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
18 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
19 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
20 text messages to an unknown recipient: "No fucking way Taloa is back this
21 year" and "If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm."

22 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
23 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
24 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
25 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
26 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
27 and no officers were present when they arrived.

28

1 When Reed and Wright reached the beach, they encountered angry
2 locals who were yelling at them. Reed and Wright ignored the harassment
3 and Wright got into the water to surf and Reed made her way to the Rock
4 Fort where she planned to watch Wright and photograph him.

5 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
6 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
7 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
8 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
9 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
10 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
11 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

12 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
13 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, "because I feel
14 like it." Johnston responded, "Because you're hot. Because you're fucking
15 sexy baby, wooh!" Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
16 way so that it sprayed Reed's arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
17 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
18 standing closest to the exit.

19 iv) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
20 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
21 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
22 Tree Service) texted Johnston: "Don't see any cops at the top." Plaintiffs are
23 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
24 mother asking him "What happened at the bay?" Johnston replied "Nothing
25 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing."

26 Reed further identifies the following individuals as having knowledge of
27 concerted efforts by the Bay Boys, including Blakeman:

28

1 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
2 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
3 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
4 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
5 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
6 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
7 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
8 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.¹ These
9 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
10 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
11 down to the beach and into the water.

12 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
13 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
14 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
15 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.² Blakeman impeded
16 Spencer's movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
17 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
18 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
19 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
20 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
21 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
22 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that

23 _____

24 ¹ [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
25 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)

26 ² Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: "We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass."

1 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
2 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
3 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
4 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
5 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
6 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
7 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew
8 each other.

9 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
10 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
11 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
12 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a
13 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
14 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
15 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
16 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy
17 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
18 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
19 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
20 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
21 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
22 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
23 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
24 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
25 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
26 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

27 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
28

1 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
2 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
3 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
4 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
5 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
6 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
7 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

8 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
9 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
10 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
11 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
12 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
13 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
14 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
15 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
16 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
17 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
18 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
19 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
20 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
21 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
22 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

23 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
24 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
25 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
26 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
27 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
28

1 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
2 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
3 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
4 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
5 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
6 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
7 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
8 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
9 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
10 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
11 dangerous.

12 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
13 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
14 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
15 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
16 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
17 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
18 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
19 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
20 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
21 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
22 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
23 certification.

24 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
25 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
26 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
27 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
28

1 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
2 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

3 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
4 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
5 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
6 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
7 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
8 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
9 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
10 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
11 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
12 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly." These incidents are
13 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
14 certification.

15 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
16 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
17 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
18 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
19 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
20 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
21 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
22 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
23 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
24 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
25 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
26 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
27 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
28

1 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
2 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
3 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
4 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
5 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
6 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
7 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
8 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
9 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

10 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
11 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
12 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
13 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
14 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
15 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
16 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
17 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
18 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

19 Jason Gersch: While observing the surf, Gersch was approached by
20 two local Bay Boys named Peter McCollum and Brant Blakeman. These
21 individuals made it known to Gersch that he could not surf there. These
22 incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for
23 class certification.

24 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
25 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
26 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
27 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'

28

1 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
2 has not been listed as a witness.

3 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
4 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
5 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
6 evidence and/or possibly spoiling evidence, we are not able to fully
7 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
8 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
9 update this response.

10 Witness Contact Information

11 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
12 Buchalter Nemer APC.

13 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
14 of J. Patrick Carey.

15 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

16 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
17 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

18 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
19 APC and The Phillips Firm.

20 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

21 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
22 LLP.

23 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
24 LLP.

25 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

26 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten
27 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,
28

1 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, Jason Gersch, John MacHarg
2 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via
3 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.

4 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,
5 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.

6 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,
7 CA, 310-963-6889.

8 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,
9 patchman@socal.rr.com.

10 Josh Berstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.

11 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.

12 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326.

13 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes
14 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

15 **INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

16 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
17 support your contention in paragraph 7 of the Complaint that BRANT
18 BLAKEMAN "is responsible in some manner for the Bane Act violations and
19 public nuisance described in the Complaint" and for each such PERSON
20 identified state all facts you contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

21 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

22 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
23 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
24 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
25 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
26 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kmiec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
27 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*

1 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
2 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) (“the court may order that [a contention]
3 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
4 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.”).

5 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
6 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
7 Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
8 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures
9 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
10 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
11 Ms. Reed on this topic.

12 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
13 This “interrogatory” contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
14 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
15 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

16 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
17 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party’s knowledge.

18 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
19 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
20 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
21 litigation strategy.

22 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
23 Party responds as follows:

24 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
25 other persons identified in Plaintiff’s Initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
26 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs’ motion for class
27 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:
28

1 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
2 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
3 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
4 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
5 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
6 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
7 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
8 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
9 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
10 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
11 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
12 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
13 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
14 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
15 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
16 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly.." A Los
17 Angeles Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of
18 the bluff filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs believe that the Bay Boys take photos
19 and/or video tape people as a form of harassment and intimidation. For
20 example, plaintiffs are also informed and believe that a Lunada Bay local
21 named Joshua Berstein was taking pictures at the MLK 2014 paddle out.
22 Plaintiffs are also informed and believe that Berstein told several people
23 after he photographed them, "Now we know who you are." Plaintiffs believe
24 that the conduct directed at Reed by Blakeman and the individual Bay Boys
25 is because she is a woman. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are
26 numerous text messages where the Bay Boys refer to Reed as a "bitch" and
27 make sexual comments about her.

28

1 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
2 the following:

3 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
4 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
5 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing immediately after they
6 parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men drove
7 by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright were
8 harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording them on
9 land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her feel very
10 uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was witnessed by
11 John MacHarg.

12 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
13 published an article called "Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
14 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says." Jordan Wright and Cory
15 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
16 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
17 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
18 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
19 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
20 text messages to an unknown recipient: "No fucking way Taloa is back this
21 year" and "If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm."

22 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
23 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
24 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
25 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
26 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
27 and no officers were present when they arrived.

28

1 When Reed and Wright reached the beach, they encountered angry
2 locals who were yelling at them. Reed and Wright ignored the harassment
3 and Wright got into the water to surf and Reed made her way to the Rock
4 Fort where she planned to watch Wright and photograph him.

5 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
6 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
7 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
8 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
9 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
10 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
11 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

12 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
13 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, "because I feel
14 like it." Johnston responded, "because you're hot. Because you're fucking
15 sexy baby, wooh!" Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
16 way so that it sprayed Reed's arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
17 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
18 standing closest to the exit.

19 iv) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
20 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
21 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
22 Tree Service) texted Johnston: "Don't see any cops at the top." Plaintiffs are
23 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
24 mother asking him "What happened at the bay?" Johnston replied "Nothing
25 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing."

26 Reed further identifies the following individuals as having knowledge of
27 concerted efforts by the Bay Boys, including Blakeman:
28

1 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
2 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
3 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
4 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
5 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
6 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
7 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
8 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.³ These
9 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
10 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
11 down to the beach and into the water.

12 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
13 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
14 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
15 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.⁴ Blakeman impeded
16 Spencer's movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
17 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
18 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
19 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
20 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
21 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
22 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that

23 _____

24 ³ [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
25 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)

26 ⁴ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: "We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass."

1 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
2 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
3 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
4 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
5 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
6 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
7 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew
8 each other.

9 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
10 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
11 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
12 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a
13 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
14 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
15 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
16 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy
17 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
18 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
19 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
20 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
21 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
22 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
23 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
24 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
25 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
26 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

27 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
28

1 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
2 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
3 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
4 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
5 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
6 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
7 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

8 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
9 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
10 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
11 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
12 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
13 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
14 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
15 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
16 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
17 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
18 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
19 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
20 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
21 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
22 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

23 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
24 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
25 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
26 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
27 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
28

1 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
2 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
3 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
4 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
5 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
6 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
7 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
8 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
9 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
10 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
11 dangerous.

12 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
13 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
14 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
15 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
16 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
17 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
18 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
19 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
20 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
21 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
22 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
23 certification.

24 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
25 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
26 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
27 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
28

1 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
2 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

3 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
4 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
5 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
6 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
7 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
8 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
9 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
10 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
11 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
12 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly. We all need to surf."
13 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
14 for class certification.

15 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
16 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
17 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
18 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
19 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
20 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
21 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
22 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
23 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
24 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
25 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
26 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
27 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
28

1 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
2 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
3 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
4 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
5 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
6 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
7 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
8 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
9 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

10 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
11 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
12 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
13 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
14 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
15 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
16 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
17 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
18 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

19 Jason Gersch: While observing the surf, Gersch was approached by
20 two local Bay Boys named Peter McCollum and Brant Blakeman. These
21 individuals made it known to Gersch that he could not surf there. These
22 incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for
23 class certification.

24 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
25 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
26 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
27 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'

28

1 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
2 has not been listed as a witness.

3 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
4 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
5 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
6 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
7 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
8 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
9 update this response.

10 Witness Contact Information

11 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
12 Buchalter Nemer APC.

13 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
14 of J. Patrick Carey.

15 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

16 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
17 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

18 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
19 APC and The Phillips Firm.

20 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

21 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
22 LLP.

23 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
24 LLP.

25 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

26 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten
27 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,
28

1 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, Jason Gersch, John MacHarg.
2 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via
3 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.

4 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,
5 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.

6 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,
7 CA, 310-963-6889.

8 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,
9 patchman@socal.rr.com.

10 Josh Bernstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.

11 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.

12 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326.

13 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes
14 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

15 **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

16 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
17 support your contention in paragraph 18 of the Complaint that BRANT
18 BLAKEMAN "sell[s] market[s] and use[s] illegal controlled substances from
19 the Lunada Bay Bluffs and the Rock Fort" and for each such PERSON
20 identified state all facts you contend are within the PERSON's knowledge.

21 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

22 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
23 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
24 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
25 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
26 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kmiec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
27 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*

1 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
2 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) (“the court may order that [a contention]
3 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
4 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.”).

5 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
6 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
7 Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
8 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures
9 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
10 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
11 Ms. Reed on this topic.

12 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
13 This “interrogatory” contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
14 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
15 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

16 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
17 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party’s knowledge.

18 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
19 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
20 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
21 litigation strategy.

22 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
23 Party responds as follows:

24 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
25 other persons identified in Plaintiffs’ Initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
26 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs’ motion for class
27 certification, Responding party identifies the following individuals: There is a
28

1 copy of a Group MMS included in Officer Report for Incident 16-02164. In
2 that report an individual who identifies himself as “the Weasel” makes a
3 statement about Blakeman and meth. The Weasel also states: “Ferrara
4 family is nothing put a bunch of drug addict losers. He’s main shaper and
5 good surfer kids are losers and his brothers kids are all losers One of the
6 Ferrara kids [sic] friends beat up a Persian liquor store guy... One time the
7 Ferrara kid talk shit to me one day I said I used to smoke crack with your
8 dad Angelo and dead uncle Sal I have all the dirt from 1979 up. The Weasel
9 then states; “Tell your bros to say even sells weed he lives on 10th Street in
10 San Pedro.” Plaintiffs are informed and believe that the word “even” is a typo
11 which is supposed to be Evan and referring to Bay Boy Evan Levy. Plaintiffs
12 are informed and believe and thereon allege that the Weasel might be an
13 individual named Glen Morris. (424) 263-5152.

14 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
15 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
16 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
17 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
18 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
19 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
20 update this response.

21 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
22 Buchalter Nemer APC.

23 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
24 of J. Patrick Carey.

25 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

26 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
27 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

28

1 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
2 APC and The Phillips Firm.

3 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

4 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
5 LLP.

6 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
7 LLP.

8 Glen Morris, (424) 263-5152.

9 **INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

10 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
11 support your contention in paragraph 18 of the Complaint that BRANT
12 BLAKEMAN "impede[d] boat traffic" at any time, and for each such PERSON
13 identified state all facts you contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

14 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

15 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
16 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
17 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
18 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
19 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kniec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
20 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*
21 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
22 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) ("the court may order that [a contention]
23 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
24 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.").

25 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
26 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
27 Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.

28

1 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures
2 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
3 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
4 Ms. Reed on this topic.

5 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
6 This "interrogatory" contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
7 Propounding Party has propounded in an effort to circumvent the numerical
8 limitations on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
9 33(a)(1).

10 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
11 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party's knowledge.

12 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
13 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
14 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
15 litigation strategy. Responding Party will not provide any such information.

16 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
17 Party responds as follows:

18 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
19 other persons identified in Plaintiffs' Initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
20 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs' motion for class
21 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals: Jordan
22 Wright, Ken Claypool.

23 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
24 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
25 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
26 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
27 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
28

1 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
2 update this response.

3 Witness Contact Information

4 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
5 Buchalter Nemer APC.

6 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
7 of J. Patrick Carey.

8 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

9 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
10 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

11 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
12 APC and The Phillips Firm.

13 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

14 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
15 LLP.

16 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
17 LLP.

18 Jordan Wright, represented by Hanson Bridgett LLP and Otten Law PC.

19 Ken Claypool, represented by Hanson Bridgett LLP and Otten Law PC.

20 **INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

21 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
22 support your contention in paragraph 18 of the Complaint that BRANT
23 BLAKEMAN "dangerously disregard[ed] surfing rules" at any time, and for
24 each such PERSON identified state all facts you contend are within that
25 PERSON's knowledge.
26
27
28

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kmiec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*, 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) (“the court may order that [a contention] interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete, or until a pretrial conference or some other time.”).

Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures. Propounding Party may look to Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose Ms. Reed on this topic.

Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound. This “interrogatory” contains multiple impermissible subparts, which Propounding Party has propounded in an effort to circumvent the numerical limitations on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party’s knowledge.

Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by

1 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
2 litigation strategy. Responding Party will not provide any such information.

3 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
4 Party responds as follows:

5 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
6 other persons identified in Plaintiff's Initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
7 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs' motion for class
8 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:

9 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
10 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
11 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
12 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
13 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
14 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
15 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
16 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
17 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
18 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
19 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
20 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
21 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
22 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
23 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
24 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly. " A Los
25 Angeles Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of
26 the bluff filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are also informed and believe that a
27 Lunada Bay local named Joshua Bernstein was taking pictures at the MLK
28

1 2014 paddle out. Plaintiffs are also informed and believe that Bernstein told
2 several people after he photographed them, "Now we know who you are."
3 Plaintiffs believe that the conduct directed at Reed by Blakeman and the
4 individual Bay Boys is because she is a woman. Plaintiff is informed and
5 believes that there are numerous text messages where the Bay Boys refer
6 to Reed as a "bitch" and make sexual comments about her.

7 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
8 the following:

9 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
10 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
11 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing.⁵ Immediately after
12 they parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men
13 drove by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright
14 were harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording
15 them on land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her
16 feel very uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was
17 witnessed by John MacHarg.

18 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
19 published an article called "Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
20 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says." Jordan Wright and Cory
21 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
22 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
23 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
24 _____

25 ⁵ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that there were text message sent on
26 January 29, 2016 asking Defendant Papayans "Where are you? Kooks
27 trying to get to the Bay." Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Papayans
28 responded with a "LOL" and said he would be there.

1 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
2 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
3 text messages to an unknown recipient: "No fucking way Taloa is back this
4 year" and "If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm."

5 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
6 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
7 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
8 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
9 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
10 and no officers were present when they arrived. When Reed and Wright
11 reached the beach, they encountered angry locals who were yelling at them.
12 Reed and Wright ignored the harassment and Wright got into the water to
13 surf and Reed made her way to the Rock Fort where she planned to watch
14 Wright and photograph him.

15 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
16 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
17 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
18 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
19 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
20 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
21 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

22 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
23 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, "because I feel
24 like it." Johnston responded, "because you're hot. Because you're fucking
25 sexy baby, wooh!" Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
26 way so that it sprayed Reed's arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
27
28

1 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
2 standing closest to the exit.

3 iv) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
4 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
5 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
6 Tree Service) texted Johnston: "Don't see any cops at the top." Plaintiffs are
7 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
8 mother asking him "What happened at the bay?" Johnston replied "Nothing
9 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing."

10 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
11 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
12 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
13 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
14 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
15 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
16 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
17 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.⁶ These
18 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
19 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
20 down to the beach and into the water.

21 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
22 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
23 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
24
25

26 _____
27 ⁶ [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
28 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)

1 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.⁷ Blakeman impeded
2 Spencer's movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
3 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
4 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
5 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
6 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
7 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
8 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that
9 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
10 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
11 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
12 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
13 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
14 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
15 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew
16 each other.

17 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
18 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
19 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
20 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a
21 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
22 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
23 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
24 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy

25
26 ⁷ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: "We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass."

1 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
2 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
3 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
4 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
5 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
6 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
7 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
8 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
9 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
10 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

11 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
12 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
13 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
14 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
15 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
16 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
17 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
18 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

19 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
20 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
21 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
22 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
23 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
24 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
25 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
26 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
27 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
28

1 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
2 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
3 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
4 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
5 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
6 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

7 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
8 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
9 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
10 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
11 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
12 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
13 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
14 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
15 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
16 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
17 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
18 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
19 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
20 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
21 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
22 dangerous.

23 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
24 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
25 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
26 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
27 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
28

1 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
2 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
3 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
4 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
5 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
6 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
7 certification.

8 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
9 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
10 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
11 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
12 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
13 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

14 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
15 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
16 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
17 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
18 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
19 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
20 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
21 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
22 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
23 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly." These incidents are
24 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
25 certification.

26 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
27 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
28

1 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
2 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
3 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
4 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
5 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
6 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
7 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
8 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
9 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
10 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
11 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
12 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
13 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
14 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
15 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
16 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
17 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
18 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
19 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
20 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

21 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
22 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
23 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
24 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
25 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
26 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
27 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
28

1 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
2 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

3 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
4 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
5 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
6 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'
7 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
8 has not been listed as a witness.

9 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
10 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
11 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
12 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
13 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
14 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
15 update this response.

16 Witness Contact Information

17 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
18 Buchalter Nemer APC.

19 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
20 of J. Patrick Carey.

21 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

22 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
23 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

24 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
25 APC and The Phillips Firm.

26 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

27 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
28

1 LLP.

2 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
3 LLP.

4 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

5 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten
6 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,
7 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, John MacHarg.

8 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via
9 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.

10 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,
11 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.

12 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,
13 CA, 310-963-6889.

14 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,
15 patchman@socal.rr.com.

16 Josh Berstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.

17 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.

18 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326.

19 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes
20 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

21 **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:** IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have
22 knowledge of any facts that support your contention that BRANT
23 BLAKEMAN has illegally extorted money from beachgoers who wish to use
24 Lunada Bay for recreational purposes (See paragraph 33j. of the
25 Complaint), and for each such PERSON identified state all facts you
26 contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

27

28

1 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

2 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
3 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
4 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
5 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
6 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kmiec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
7 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*
8 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
9 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) (“the court may order that [a contention]
10 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
11 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.”).

12 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
13 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
14 Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
15 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures
16 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
17 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
18 Ms. Reed on this topic.

19 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
20 This “interrogatory” contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
21 Propounding Party has propounded in an effort to circumvent the numerical
22 limitations on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
23 33(a)(1).

24 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
25 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party’s knowledge.

26 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
27 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
28

1 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
2 litigation strategy. Responding Party will not provide any such information.

3 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
4 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
5 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
6 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
7 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
8 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
9 update this response.

10 **INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

11 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
12 support your contention that BRANT BLAKEMAN was a part of a Civil
13 Conspiracy as identified in your complaint in paragraphs 51 through 53, and
14 for each such PERSON identified state all facts you contend are within that
15 PERSON's knowledge.

16 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

17 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
18 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
19 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
20 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
21 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kniec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
22 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*
23 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
24 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) ("the court may order that [a contention]
25 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
26 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.").

27
28

1 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
2 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
3 Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
4 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures
5 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
6 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
7 Ms. Reed on this topic.

8 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
9 This "interrogatory" contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
10 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
11 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

12 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
13 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party's knowledge.

14 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
15 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
16 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
17 litigation strategy.

18 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
19 Party responds as follows:

20 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
21 other persons identified in Plaintiffs' initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
22 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs motion for class
23 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:

24 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
25 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
26 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
27 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
28

1 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
2 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
3 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
4 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
5 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
6 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
7 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
8 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
9 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
10 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
11 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
12 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly. " A Los
13 Angeles Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of
14 the bluff filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs believe that the Bay Boys take photos
15 and/or video tape people as a form of harassment and intimidation. For
16 example, plaintiffs are also informed and believe that a Lunada Bay local
17 named Joshua Berstein was taking pictures at the MLK 2014 paddle out.
18 Plaintiffs are also informed and believe that Berstein told several people
19 after he photographed them, "Now we know who you are." Plaintiffs believe
20 that the conduct directed at Reed by Blakeman and the individual Bay Boys
21 is because she is a woman. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are
22 numerous text messages where the Bay Boys refer to Reed as a "bitch" and
23 make sexual comments about her.

24 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
25 the following:

26 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
27 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
28

1 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing.⁸ Immediately after
2 they parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men
3 drove by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright
4 were harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording
5 them on land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her
6 feel very uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was
7 witnessed by John MacHarg.

8 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
9 published an article called "Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
10 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says." Jordan Wright and Cory
11 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
12 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
13 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
14 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
15 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
16 text messages to an unknown recipient: "No fucking way Taloa is back this
17 year" and "If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm."

18 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
19 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
20 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
21 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
22 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
23 and no officers were present when they arrived. When Reed and Wright
24 _____

25 ⁸ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that there were text message sent on
26 January 29, 2016 asking Defendant Papayans "Where are you? Kooks
27 trying to get to the Bay." Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Papayans
28 responded with a "LOL" and said he would be there.

1 reached the beach, they encountered angry locals who were yelling at them.
2 Reed and Wright ignored the harassment and Wright got into the water to
3 surf and Reed made her way to the Rock Fort where she planned to watch
4 Wright and photograph him.

5 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
6 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
7 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
8 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
9 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
10 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
11 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

12 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
13 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, "because I feel
14 like it." Johnston responded, "because you're hot. Because you're fucking
15 sexy baby, wooh!" Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
16 way so that it sprayed Reed's arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
17 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
18 standing closest to the exit.

19 iii) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
20 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
21 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
22 Tree Service) texted Johnston: "Don't see any cops at the top." Plaintiffs are
23 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
24 mother asking him "What happened at the bay?" Johnston replied "Nothing
25 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing."

26 Reed further identifies the following individuals as having knowledge of
27 concerted efforts by the Bay Boys, including Blakeman:

28

1 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
2 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
3 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
4 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
5 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
6 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
7 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
8 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.⁹ These
9 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
10 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
11 down to the beach and into the water.

12 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
13 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
14 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
15 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.¹⁰ Blakeman impeded
16 Spencer's movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
17 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
18 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
19 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
20 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
21 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
22 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that

23 _____

24 ⁹ [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
25 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)

26 ¹⁰ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: "We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass."

1 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
2 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
3 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
4 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
5 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
6 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
7 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew
8 each other.

9 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
10 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
11 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
12 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a
13 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
14 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
15 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
16 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy
17 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
18 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
19 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
20 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
21 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
22 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
23 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
24 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
25 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
26 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

27 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
28

1 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
2 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
3 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
4 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
5 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
6 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
7 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

8 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
9 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
10 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
11 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
12 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
13 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
14 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
15 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
16 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
17 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
18 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
19 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
20 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
21 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
22 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

23 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
24 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
25 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
26 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
27 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
28

1 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
2 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
3 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
4 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
5 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
6 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
7 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
8 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
9 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
10 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
11 dangerous.

12 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
13 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
14 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
15 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
16 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
17 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
18 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
19 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
20 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
21 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
22 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
23 certification.

24 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
25 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
26 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
27 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
28

1 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
2 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

3 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
4 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
5 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
6 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
7 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
8 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
9 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
10 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
11 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
12 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly. We all need to surf."
13 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
14 for class certification.

15 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
16 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
17 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
18 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
19 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
20 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
21 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
22 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
23 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
24 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
25 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
26 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
27 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
28

1 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
2 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
3 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
4 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
5 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
6 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
7 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
8 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
9 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

10 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
11 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
12 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
13 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
14 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
15 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
16 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
17 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
18 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

19 Jason Gersch: While observing the surf, Gersch was approached by
20 two local Bay Boys named Peter McCollum and Brant Blakeman. These
21 individuals made it known to Gersch that he could not surf there. These
22 incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for
23 class certification.

24 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
25 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
26 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
27 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'

28

1 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
2 has not been listed as a witness.

3 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
4 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
5 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
6 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
7 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
8 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
9 update this response.

10 Witness Contact Information

11 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
12 Buchalter Nemer APC.

13 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
14 of J. Patrick Carey.

15 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

16 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
17 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

18 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
19 APC and The Phillips Firm.

20 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

21 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
22 LLP.

23 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
24 LLP.

25 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

26 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten
27 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,
28

1 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, Jason Gersch, John MacHarg.
2 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via
3 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.
4 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,
5 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.
6 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,
7 CA, 310-963-6889.
8 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,
9 patchman@socal.rr.com.
10 Josh Berstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.
11 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.
12 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326.
13 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes
14 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

15 **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

16 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
17 support plaintiffs' First Cause of Action in the Complaint (Bane Act
18 Violations) against BRANT BLAKEMAN, and for each such PERON
19 identified state all facts you contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

20 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

21 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
22 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
23 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
24 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
25 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kmiec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
26 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*
27 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
28

1 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) (“the court may order that [a contention]
2 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
3 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.”).

4 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
5 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
6 Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
7 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures
8 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
9 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
10 Ms. Reed on this topic.

11 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
12 This “interrogatory” contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
13 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
14 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

15 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
16 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party’s knowledge.

17 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
18 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
19 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
20 litigation strategy.

21 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
22 Party responds as follows:

23 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
24 other persons identified in Plaintiffs’ initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
25 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs motion for class
26 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:

27
28

1 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
2 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
3 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
4 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
5 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
6 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
7 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
8 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
9 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
10 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
11 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
12 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
13 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
14 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
15 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
16 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly. " A Los
17 Angeles Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of
18 the bluff filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs believe that the Bay Boys take photos
19 and/or video tape people as a form of harassment and intimidation. For
20 example, plaintiffs are also informed and believe that a Lunada Bay local
21 named Joshua Berstein was taking pictures at the MLK 2014 paddle out.
22 Plaintiffs are also informed and believe that Berstein told several people
23 after he photographed them, "Now we know who you are." Plaintiffs believe
24 that the conduct directed at Reed by Blakeman and the individual Bay Boys
25 is because she is a woman. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are
26 numerous text messages where the Bay Boys refer to Reed as a "bitch" and
27 make sexual comments about her.

28

1 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
2 the following:

3 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
4 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
5 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing.¹¹ Immediately after
6 they parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men
7 drove by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright
8 were harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording
9 them on land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her
10 feel very uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was
11 witnessed by John MacHarg.

12 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
13 published an article called "Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
14 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says." Jordan Wright and Cory
15 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
16 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
17 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
18 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
19 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
20 text messages to an unknown recipient: "No fucking way Taloa is back this
21 year" and "If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm."

22 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
23 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
24 _____

25 ¹¹ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that there were text message sent on
26 January 29, 2016 asking Defendant Papayans "Where are you? Kooks
27 trying to get to the Bay." Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Papayans
28 responded with a "LOL" and said he would be there.

1 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
2 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
3 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
4 and no officers were present when they arrived. When Reed and Wright
5 reached the beach, they encountered angry locals who were yelling at them.
6 Reed and Wright ignored the harassment and Wright got into the water to
7 surf and Reed made her way to the Rock Fort where she planned to watch
8 Wright and photograph him.

9 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
10 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
11 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
12 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
13 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
14 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
15 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

16 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
17 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, "because I feel
18 like it." Johnston responded, "because you're hot. Because you're fucking
19 sexy baby, wooh!" Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
20 way so that it sprayed Reed's arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
21 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
22 standing closest to the exit.

23 iii) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
24 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
25 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
26 Tree Service) texted Johnston: "Don't see any cops at the top." Plaintiffs are
27 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
28

1 mother asking him "What happened at the bay?" Johnston replied "Nothing
2 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing."

3 Reed further identifies the following individuals as having knowledge of
4 concerted efforts by the Bay Boys, including Blakeman:

5 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
6 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
7 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
8 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
9 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
10 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
11 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
12 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.¹² These
13 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
14 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
15 down to the beach and into the water.

16 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
17 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
18 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
19 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.¹³ Blakeman impeded
20 Spencer's movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
21 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
22 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
23 _____

24 ¹² [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
25 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)

26 ¹³ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: "We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass."

1 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
2 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
3 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
4 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that
5 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
6 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
7 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
8 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
9 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
10 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
11 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew
12 each other.

13 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
14 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
15 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
16 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a
17 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
18 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
19 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
20 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy
21 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
22 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
23 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
24 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
25 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
26 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
27 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
28

1 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
2 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
3 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

4 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
5 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
6 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
7 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
8 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
9 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
10 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
11 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

12 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
13 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
14 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
15 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
16 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
17 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
18 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
19 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
20 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
21 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
22 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
23 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
24 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
25 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
26 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

27 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
28

1 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
2 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
3 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
4 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
5 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
6 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
7 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
8 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
9 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
10 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
11 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
12 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
13 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
14 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
15 dangerous.

16 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
17 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
18 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
19 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
20 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
21 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
22 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
23 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
24 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
25 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
26 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
27 certification.

28

1 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
2 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
3 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
4 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
5 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
6 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

7 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
8 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
9 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
10 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
11 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
12 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
13 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
14 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
15 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
16 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly. We all need to surf."
17 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
18 for class certification.

19 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
20 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
21 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
22 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
23 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
24 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
25 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
26 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
27 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
28

1 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
2 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
3 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
4 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
5 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
6 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
7 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
8 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
9 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
10 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
11 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
12 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
13 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

14 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
15 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
16 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
17 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
18 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
19 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
20 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
21 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
22 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

23 Jason Gersch: While observing the surf, Gersch was approached by
24 two local Bay Boys named Peter McCollum and Brant Blakeman. These
25 individuals made it known to Gersch that he could not surf there. These
26 incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for
27 class certification.

28

1 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
2 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
3 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
4 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'
5 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
6 has not been listed as a witness.

7 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
8 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
9 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
10 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
11 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
12 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
13 update this response.

14 Witness Contact Information

15 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
16 Buchalter Nemer APC.

17 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
18 of J. Patrick Carey.

19 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

20 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
21 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

22 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
23 APC and The Phillips Firm.

24 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

25 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
26 LLP.

27 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
28

1 LLP.

2 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

3 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten

4 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,

5 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, Jason Gersch, John MacHarg.

6 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via

7 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.

8 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,

9 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.

10 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,

11 CA, 310-963-6889.

12 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,

13 patchman@socal.rr.com.

14 Josh Berstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.

15 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.

16 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326

17 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes

18 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

19 **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

20 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
21 support plaintiffs' Second Cause of Action in the Complaint (Public
22 Nuisance) against BRANT BLAKEMAN, and for each such PERSON
23 identified state all facts you contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

24 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

25 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
26 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
27 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
28

1 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
2 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kniec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
3 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*
4 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
5 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) (“the court may order that [a contention]
6 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
7 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.”).

8 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
9 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
10 Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
11 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party’s Rule 26(a) disclosures
12 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
13 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
14 Ms. Reed on this topic.

15 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
16 This “interrogatory” contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
17 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
18 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

19 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
20 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party’s knowledge.

21 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
22 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
23 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
24 litigation strategy.

25 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
26 Party responds as follows:
27
28

1 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
2 other persons identified in Plaintiffs' initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
3 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs motion for class
4 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:

5 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
6 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
7 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
8 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
9 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
10 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
11 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
12 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
13 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
14 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
15 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
16 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
17 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
18 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
19 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
20 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly. " A Los
21 Angeles Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of
22 the bluff filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs believe that the Bay Boys take photos
23 and/or video tape people as a form of harassment and intimidation. For
24 example, plaintiffs are also informed and believe that a Lunada Bay local
25 named Joshua Berstein was taking pictures at the MLK 2014 paddle out.
26 Plaintiffs are also informed and believe that Berstein told several people
27 after he photographed them, "Now we know who you are." Plaintiffs believe
28

1 that the conduct directed at Reed by Blakeman and the individual Bay Boys
2 is because she is a woman. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are
3 numerous text messages where the Bay Boys refer to Reed as a “bitch” and
4 make sexual comments about her.

5 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
6 the following:

7 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
8 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
9 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing.¹⁴ Immediately after
10 they parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men
11 drove by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright
12 were harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording
13 them on land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her
14 feel very uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was
15 witnessed by John MacHarg.

16 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
17 published an article called “Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
18 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says.” Jordan Wright and Cory
19 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
20 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
21 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
22 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
23 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
24 _____

25 ¹⁴ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that there were text message sent on
26 January 29, 2016 asking Defendant Papayans “Where are you? Kooks
27 trying to get to the Bay.” Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Papayans
28 responded with a “LOL” and said he would be there.

1 text messages to an unknown recipient: “No fucking way Taloa is back this
2 year” and “If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm.”

3 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
4 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
5 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
6 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
7 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
8 and no officers were present when they arrived. When Reed and Wright
9 reached the beach, they encountered angry locals who were yelling at them.
10 Reed and Wright ignored the harassment and Wright got into the water to
11 surf and Reed made her way to the Rock Fort where she planned to watch
12 Wright and photograph him.

13 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
14 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
15 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
16 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
17 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
18 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
19 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

20 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
21 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, “because I feel
22 like it.” Johnston responded, “because you’re hot. Because you’re fucking
23 sexy baby, wooh!” Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
24 way so that it sprayed Reed’s arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
25 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
26 standing closest to the exit.

27
28

1 iii) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
2 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
3 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
4 Tree Service) texted Johnston: "Don't see any cops at the top." Plaintiffs are
5 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
6 mother asking him "What happened at the bay?" Johnston replied "Nothing
7 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing."

8 Reed further identifies the following individuals as having knowledge of
9 concerted efforts by the Bay Boys, including Blakeman:

10 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
11 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
12 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
13 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
14 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
15 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
16 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
17 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.¹⁵ These
18 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
19 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
20 down to the beach and into the water.

21 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
22 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
23 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
24
25

26 ¹⁵ [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
27 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
28

1 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.¹⁶ Blakeman impeded
2 Spencer's movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
3 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
4 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
5 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
6 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
7 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
8 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that
9 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
10 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
11 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
12 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
13 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
14 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
15 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew
16 each other.

17 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
18 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
19 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
20 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a
21 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
22 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
23 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
24 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy

25
26 ¹⁶ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: "We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass."

1 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
2 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
3 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
4 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
5 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
6 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
7 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
8 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
9 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
10 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

11 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
12 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
13 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
14 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
15 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
16 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
17 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
18 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

19 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
20 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
21 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
22 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
23 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
24 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
25 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
26 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
27 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
28

1 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
2 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
3 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
4 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
5 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
6 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

7 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
8 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
9 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
10 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
11 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
12 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
13 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
14 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
15 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
16 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
17 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
18 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
19 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
20 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
21 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
22 dangerous.

23 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
24 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
25 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
26 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
27 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
28

1 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
2 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
3 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
4 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
5 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
6 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
7 certification.

8 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
9 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
10 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
11 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
12 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
13 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

14 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
15 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
16 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
17 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
18 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
19 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
20 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
21 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
22 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
23 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly. We all need to surf."
24 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
25 for class certification.

26 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
27 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
28

1 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
2 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
3 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
4 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
5 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
6 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
7 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
8 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
9 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
10 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
11 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
12 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
13 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
14 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
15 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
16 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
17 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
18 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
19 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
20 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

21 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
22 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
23 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
24 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
25 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
26 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
27 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
28

1 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
2 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

3 Jason Gersch: While observing the surf, Gersch was approached by
4 two local Bay Boys named Peter McCollum and Brant Blakeman. These
5 individuals made it known to Gersch that he could not surf there. These
6 incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for
7 class certification.

8 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
9 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
10 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
11 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'
12 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
13 has not been listed as a witness.

14 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
15 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
16 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
17 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
18 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
19 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
20 update this response.

21 Witness Contact Information

22 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
23 Buchalter Nemer APC.

24 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
25 of J. Patrick Carey.

26 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

27 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
28

1 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

2 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
3 APC and The Phillips Firm.

4 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

5 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
6 LLP.

7 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
8 LLP.

9 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

10 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten

11 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,

12 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, Jason Gersch, John MacHarg.

13 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via
14 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.

15 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,
16 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.

17 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,
18 CA, 310-963-6889.

19 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,
20 patchman@socal.rr.com.

21 Josh Berstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.

22 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.

23 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326.

24 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes
25 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

26 **INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

27 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
28

1 support plaintiffs' Sixth Cause of Action in the Complaint (Assault) against
2 BRANT BLAKEMAN, and for each such PERSON identified state all facts
3 you contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

4 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

5 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
6 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
7 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
8 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
9 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kniec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
10 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*
11 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
12 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) ("the court may order that [a contention]
13 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
14 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.").

15 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
16 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
17 Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
18 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures
19 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
20 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
21 Ms. Reed on this topic.

22 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
23 This "interrogatory" contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
24 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
25 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

26 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
27 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party's knowledge.
28

1 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
2 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
3 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
4 litigation strategy.

5 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
6 Party responds as follows:

7 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
8 other persons identified in Plaintiffs' initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
9 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs motion for class
10 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:

11 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
12 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
13 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
14 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
15 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
16 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
17 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
18 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
19 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
20 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
21 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
22 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
23 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
24 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
25 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
26 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly." A Los Angeles
27 Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of the bluff
28

1 filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs believe that the Bay Boys take photos and/or
2 video tape people as a form of harassment and intimidation. For example,
3 plaintiffs are also informed and believe that a Lunada Bay local named
4 Joshua Berstein was taking pictures at the MLK 2014 paddle out. Plaintiffs
5 are also informed and believe that Berstein told several people after he
6 photographed them, "Now we know who you are." Plaintiffs believe that the
7 conduct directed at Reed by Blakeman and the individual Bay Boys is
8 because she is a woman. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are
9 numerous text messages where the Bay Boys refer to Reed as a "bitch" and
10 make sexual comments about her.

11 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
12 the following:

13 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
14 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
15 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing.¹⁷ Immediately after
16 they parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men
17 drove by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright
18 were harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording
19 them on land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her
20 feel very uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was
21 witnessed by John MacHarg.

22 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
23 published an article called "Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
24 _____

25 ¹⁷ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that there were text message sent on
26 January 29, 2016 asking Defendant Papayans "Where are you? Kooks
27 trying to get to the Bay." Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Papayans
28 responded with a "LOL" and said he would be there.

1 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says.” Jordan Wright and Cory
2 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
3 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
4 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
5 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
6 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
7 text messages to an unknown recipient: “No fucking way Taloa is back this
8 year” and “If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm.”

9 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
10 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
11 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
12 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
13 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
14 and no officers were present when they arrived. When Reed and Wright
15 reached the beach, they encountered angry locals who were yelling at them.
16 Reed and Wright ignored the harassment and Wright got into the water to
17 surf and Reed made her way to the Rock Fort where she planned to watch
18 Wright and photograph him.

19 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
20 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
21 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
22 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
23 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
24 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
25 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

26 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
27 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, “because I feel
28

1 like it.” Johnston responded, “because you’re hot. Because you’re fucking
2 sexy baby, woohh!” Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
3 way so that it sprayed Reed’s arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
4 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
5 standing closest to the exit.

6 iii) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
7 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
8 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
9 Tree Service) texted Johnston: “Don’t see any cops at the top.” Plaintiffs are
10 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
11 mother asking him “What happened at the bay?” Johnston replied “Nothing
12 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing.”

13 Reed further identifies the following individuals as having knowledge of
14 concerted efforts by the Bay Boys, including Blakeman:

15 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
16 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
17 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
18 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
19 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
20 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
21 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
22 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.¹⁸ These
23 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
24 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
25

26 ¹⁸ [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
27 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
28

1 down to the beach and into the water.

2 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
3 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
4 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
5 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.¹⁹ Blakeman impeded
6 Spencer's movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
7 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
8 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
9 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
10 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
11 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
12 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that
13 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
14 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
15 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
16 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
17 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
18 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
19 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew
20 each other.

21 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
22 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
23 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
24 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a

25
26 ¹⁹ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: "We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass."

1 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
2 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
3 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
4 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy
5 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
6 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
7 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
8 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
9 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
10 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
11 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
12 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
13 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
14 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

15 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
16 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
17 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
18 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
19 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
20 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
21 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
22 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

23 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
24 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
25 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
26 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
27 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
28

1 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
2 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
3 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
4 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
5 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
6 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
7 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
8 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
9 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
10 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

11 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
12 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
13 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
14 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
15 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
16 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
17 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
18 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
19 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
20 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
21 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
22 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
23 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
24 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
25 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
26 dangerous.

27 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
28

1 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
2 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
3 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
4 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
5 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
6 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
7 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
8 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
9 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
10 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
11 certification.

12 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
13 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
14 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
15 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
16 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
17 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

18 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
19 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
20 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
21 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
22 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
23 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
24 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
25 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
26 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
27 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly. We all need to surf."

28

1 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
2 for class certification.

3 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
4 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
5 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
6 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
7 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
8 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
9 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
10 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
11 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
12 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
13 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
14 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
15 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
16 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
17 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
18 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
19 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
20 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
21 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
22 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
23 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
24 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

25 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
26 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
27 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
28

1 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
2 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
3 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
4 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
5 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
6 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

7 Jason Gersch: While observing the surf, Gersch was approached by
8 two local Bay Boys named Peter McCollum and Brant Blakeman. These
9 individuals made it known to Gersch that he could not surf there. These
10 incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for
11 class certification.

12 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
13 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
14 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
15 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'
16 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
17 has not been listed as a witness.

18 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
19 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
20 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
21 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
22 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
23 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
24 update this response.

25 Witness Contact Information

26 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
27 Buchalter Nemer APC.

28

1 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
2 of J. Patrick Carey.

3 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

4 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
5 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

6 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
7 APC and The Phillips Firm.

8 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

9 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
10 LLP.

11 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
12 LLP.

13 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

14 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten
15 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,
16 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, Jason Gersch, John MacHarg.
17 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via
18 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.

19 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,
20 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.

21 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,
22 CA, 310-963-6889.

23 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,
24 patchman@socal.rr.com.

25 Josh Berstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.

26 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.

27 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326.

28

1 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes
2 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

3 **INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

4 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
5 support plaintiffs' Seventh Cause of Action in the Complaint (Battery) against
6 BRANT BLAKEMAN, and for each such PERSON identified state all facts
7 you contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

8 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

9 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
10 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
11 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
12 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
13 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kniec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
14 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*
15 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
16 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) ("the court may order that [a contention]
17 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
18 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.").

19 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
20 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
21 Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
22 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures
23 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
24 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
25 Ms. Reed on this topic.

26 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
27 This "interrogatory" contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
28

1 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
2 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

3 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
4 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party's knowledge.

5 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
6 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
7 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
8 litigation strategy.

9 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
10 Party responds as follows:

11 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
12 other persons identified in Plaintiffs' initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
13 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs motion for class
14 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:

15 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
16 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
17 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
18 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
19 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
20 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
21 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
22 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
23 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
24 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
25 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
26 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
27 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
28

1 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
2 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
3 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly." A Los Angeles
4 Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of the bluff
5 filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs believe that the Bay Boys take photos and/or
6 video tape people as a form of harassment and intimidation. For example,
7 plaintiffs are also informed and believe that a Lunada Bay local named
8 Joshua Bernstein was taking pictures at the MLK 2014 paddle out. Plaintiffs
9 are also informed and believe that Bernstein told several people after he
10 photographed them, "Now we know who you are." Plaintiffs believe that the
11 conduct directed at Reed by Blakeman and the individual Bay Boys is
12 because she is a woman. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are
13 numerous text messages where the Bay Boys refer to Reed as a "bitch" and
14 make sexual comments about her.

15 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
16 the following:

17 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
18 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
19 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing. Immediately after
20 they parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men
21 drove by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright
22 were harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording
23 them on land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her
24 feel very uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was
25 witnessed by John MacHarg.

26 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
27 published an article called "Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
28

1 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says.” Jordan Wright and Cory
2 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
3 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
4 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
5 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
6 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
7 text messages to an unknown recipient: “No fucking way Taloa is back this
8 year” and “If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm.”

9 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
10 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
11 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
12 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
13 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
14 and no officers were present when they arrived. When Reed and Wright
15 reached the beach, they encountered angry locals who were yelling at them.
16 Reed and Wright ignored the harassment and Wright got into the water to
17 surf and Reed made her way to the Rock Fort where she planned to watch
18 Wright and photograph him.

19 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
20 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
21 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
22 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
23 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
24 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
25 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

26 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
27 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, “because I feel
28

1 like it.” Johnston responded, “because you’re hot. Because you’re fucking
2 sexy baby, woohh!” Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
3 way so that it sprayed Reed’s arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
4 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
5 standing closest to the exit.

6 iii) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
7 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
8 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
9 Tree Service) texted Johnston: “Don’t see any cops at the top.” Plaintiffs are
10 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
11 mother asking him “What happened at the bay?” Johnston replied “Nothing
12 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing.”

13 Reed further identifies the following individuals as having knowledge of
14 concerted efforts by the Bay Boys, including Blakeman:

15 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
16 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
17 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
18 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
19 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
20 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
21 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
22 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.²⁰ These
23 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
24 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
25

26 ²⁰ [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
27 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
28

1 down to the beach and into the water.

2 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
3 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
4 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
5 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.²¹ Blakeman impeded
6 Spencer's movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
7 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
8 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
9 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
10 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
11 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
12 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that
13 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
14 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
15 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
16 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
17 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
18 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
19 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew
20 each other.

21 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
22 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
23 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
24 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a

25
26 ²¹ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: "We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass."

1 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
2 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
3 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
4 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy
5 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
6 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
7 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
8 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
9 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
10 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
11 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
12 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
13 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
14 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

15 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
16 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
17 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
18 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
19 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
20 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
21 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
22 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

23 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
24 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
25 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
26 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
27 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
28

1 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
2 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
3 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
4 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
5 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
6 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
7 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
8 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
9 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
10 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

11 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
12 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
13 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
14 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
15 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
16 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
17 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
18 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
19 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
20 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
21 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
22 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
23 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
24 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
25 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
26 dangerous.

27 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
28

1 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
2 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
3 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
4 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
5 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
6 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
7 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
8 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
9 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
10 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
11 certification.

12 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
13 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
14 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
15 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
16 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
17 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

18 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
19 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
20 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
21 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
22 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
23 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
24 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
25 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
26 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
27 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly. We all need to surf."

28

1 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
2 for class certification.

3 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
4 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
5 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
6 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
7 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
8 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
9 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
10 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
11 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
12 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
13 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
14 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
15 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
16 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
17 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
18 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
19 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
20 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
21 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
22 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
23 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
24 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

25 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
26 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
27 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
28

1 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
2 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
3 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
4 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
5 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
6 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

7 Jason Gersch: While observing the surf, Gersch was approached by
8 two local Bay Boys named Peter McCollum and Brant Blakeman. These
9 individuals made it known to Gersch that he could not surf there. These
10 incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for
11 class certification.

12 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
13 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
14 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
15 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'
16 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
17 has not been listed as a witness.

18 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
19 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
20 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
21 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
22 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
23 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
24 update this response.

25 Witness Contact Information

26 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
27 Buchalter Nemer APC.

1 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
2 of J. Patrick Carey.

3 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

4 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
5 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

6 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
7 APC and The Phillips Firm.

8 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

9 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
10 LLP.

11 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
12 LLP.

13 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

14 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten
15 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,
16 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, Jason Gersch, John MacHarg.
17 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via
18 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.

19 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,
20 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.

21 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,
22 CA, 310-963-6889.

23 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,
24 patchman@socal.rr.com.

25 Josh Berstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.

26 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.

27 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326.

28

1 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes
2 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

3 **INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

4 IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS that have knowledge of any facts that
5 support plaintiffs' Eight Cause of Action in the Complaint (Negligence)
6 against BRANT BLAKEMAN, and for each such PERSON identified state all
7 facts you contend are within that PERSON's knowledge.

8 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

9 Responding Party objects to this interrogatory as premature. Because
10 this interrogatory seeks or necessarily relies upon a contention, and
11 because this matter is in its early stages and pretrial discovery has only just
12 begun, Responding Party is unable to provide a complete response at this
13 time, nor is it required to do so. See *Kniec v. Powerwave Techs. Inc. et al.*,
14 2014 WL 11512195 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2014) at *1; *Folz v. Union Pacific*
15 *Railroad Company*, 2014 WL 357929 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) at *1-2.; see
16 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(2) ("the court may order that [a contention]
17 interrogatory need not be answered until designated discovery is complete,
18 or until a pretrial conference or some other time.").

19 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as unduly
20 burdensome, harassing, and duplicative of information disclosed in
21 Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures and supplemental disclosures.
22 Propounding Party may look to Responding Party's Rule 26(a) disclosures
23 and supplemental disclosures for the information sought by this
24 interrogatory. Moreover, Responding Party had the opportunity to depose
25 Ms. Reed on this topic.

26 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory as compound.
27 This "interrogatory" contains multiple impermissible subparts, which
28

1 Propounding Party has propounded to circumvent the numerical limitations
2 on interrogatories provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

3 Responding Party further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds
4 that it seeks information that is outside of Responding Party's knowledge.

5 Responding Party further objects to the extent that this interrogatory
6 invades attorney-client privilege and/or violates the work product doctrine by
7 compelling Responding Party to disclose privileged communications and/or
8 litigation strategy.

9 Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Responding
10 Party responds as follows:

11 In addition to each defendant named in his individual capacity and
12 other persons identified in Plaintiffs' initial and Supplemental Disclosures,
13 and the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs motion for class
14 certification, Responding Party identifies the following individuals:

15 Diana Reed: believes that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
16 with other Bay Boys to obstruct the plaintiffs' and the publics' free passage
17 and use in the customary manner of a public space. Reed also believes that
18 Blakeman coordinated with other Bay Boys to harass and assault the
19 plaintiffs and the public when they were visiting Lunada Bay. Reed believes
20 that the conduct directed at the plaintiffs and others trying to surf Lunada
21 Bay is part of an agreement among Blakeman and the other Bay Boys,
22 which at a minimum, may be implied by the conduct of the parties and other
23 members of the Bay Boys. Reed believes that the Bay Boys concerted
24 efforts to stop the public from accessing the beach are documented in text
25 messages and emails some of which have been destroyed or are being
26 withheld by the Defendants in this case. For example, on February 5, 2016,
27 Charles Mowat sent a text message to Defendant Brant Blakeman, Tom
28

1 Sullivan, David Yoakley, Andy Patch, Defendant Michael Papayans and
2 several others that said "There are 5 kooks standing on the bluff taking
3 pictures...I think that same Taloa guy. Things could get ugly." A Los Angeles
4 Times photographer captured a pictured of Defendant Blakeman of the bluff
5 filming plaintiffs. Plaintiffs believe that the Bay Boys take photos and/or
6 video tape people as a form of harassment and intimidation. For example,
7 plaintiffs are also informed and believe that a Lunada Bay local named
8 Joshua Bernstein was taking pictures at the MLK 2014 paddle out. Plaintiffs
9 are also informed and believe that Bernstein told several people after he
10 photographed them, "Now we know who you are." Plaintiffs believe that the
11 conduct directed at Reed by Blakeman and the individual Bay Boys is
12 because she is a woman. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are
13 numerous text messages where the Bay Boys refer to Reed as a "bitch" and
14 make sexual comments about her.

15 The specific acts directed against Reed include but are not limited to
16 the following:

17 i) Reed went to Lunada Bay on January 29, 2016 with Jordan
18 Wright. Reed had intended to surf at Lunada Bay that day because the
19 conditions were such that she felt comfortable surfing.²² Immediately after
20 they parked their car along the bluffs, the harassment began. Several men
21 drove by and circled around their car. This was the day that she and Wright
22 were harassed and intimidated by David Melo. Blakeman was recording
23 them on land with his camera. It was very disturbing to Reed and made her
24 _____

25 ²² Plaintiffs are informed and believe that there were text message sent on
26 January 29, 2016 asking Defendant Papayans "Where are you? Kooks
27 trying to get to the Bay." Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Papayans
28 responded with a "LOL" and said he would be there.

1 feel very uncomfortable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that this was
2 witnessed by John MacHarg.

3 ii) On or about February 12, 2016, The Los Angeles Times
4 published an article called "Bay Boys surfer gang cannot block access to
5 upscale beach, Coastal Commission says." Jordan Wright and Cory
6 Spencer are quoted in the article. Mr. Wright and a few others had planned
7 to surf Lunada Bay the following morning. Plaintiffs are informed and believe
8 that Defendants Johnston and Blakeman learned that Jordan Wright and
9 Diana Reed were going to Lunada Bay and planned to be there to harass
10 them. On February 12, 2016, Defendant Alan Johnston sent the following
11 text messages to an unknown recipient: "No fucking way Taloa is back this
12 year" and "If u really wanna be a bay boy we might meet help tomm."

13 iii) On February 13, 2016, Reed returned to Lunada Bay with
14 Jordan Wright to watch him surf and take photographs. Prior to her arrival,
15 she contacted the Palos Verdes Estates Police and requested an escort
16 from the bluffs to the beach. She was concerned about her safety given the
17 January 29, 2016 incident. She was told that the police were unavailable
18 and no officers were present when they arrived. When Reed and Wright
19 reached the beach, they encountered angry locals who were yelling at them.
20 Reed and Wright ignored the harassment and Wright got into the water to
21 surf and Reed made her way to the Rock Fort where she planned to watch
22 Wright and photograph him.

23 Approximately two hours after Reed had arrived at Lunada Bay, while
24 she was standing in the Rock Fort taking photos, Blakeman and defendant
25 Alan Johnston rushed into the fort and ran towards her in a hostile and
26 aggressive manner. It seemed that they had coordinated and orchestrated
27 the attack which completely caught Reed off guard. Blakeman was filming
28

1 Reed again, and at times, held his camera right in her face. It was
2 intimidating and harassing to Reed, and she feared for her safety.

3 Reed asked Blakeman and Johnston why they were filming her,
4 because it made her uncomfortable. Blakeman responded, "because I feel
5 like it." Johnston responded, "because you're hot. Because you're fucking
6 sexy baby, woohh!" Johnston then opened a can of beer in a purposeful
7 way so that it sprayed Reed's arm and her camera. Reed, paralyzed with
8 fear, was unable to leave the Rock Fort as Blakeman and Johnston were
9 standing closest to the exit.

10 iii) Plaintiffs are informed and believe that after the incident
11 Defendant Johnston started calling and/or texting other Lunada Bay locals to
12 check for police to plan a getaway. At around 1:00 pm Brad Travers (Travers
13 Tree Service) texted Johnston: "Don't see any cops at the top." Plaintiffs are
14 informed and believe that later that day Johnston received a text from his
15 mother asking him "What happened at the bay?" Johnston replied "Nothing
16 happened really just couple of trolls they got nothing."

17 Reed further identifies the following individuals as having knowledge of
18 concerted efforts by the Bay Boys, including Blakeman:

19 Cory Spencer: Cory Spencer and Chris Taloa went to surf Lunada
20 Bay. Almost instantly after they arrived at Lunada Bay, they started getting
21 harassed by Bay Boys. They were told that they couldn't surf there, and
22 Spencer was called a "kook," which is a derogatory surfing term. Spencer
23 was also told: "why don't you fucking go home, you fucking kook;" and was
24 asked, "how many other good places did you pass to come here?" These
25 are the same types of statements made by Defendant Sang Lee and others
26
27
28

1 that can be observed on the video published by the Guardian.²³ These
2 taunts started while Spencer and Taloa were on the bluffs getting ready to
3 surf. One individual continued to heckle Spencer and Taloa on their way
4 down to the beach and into the water.

5 Blakeman was already in the water and began paddling around
6 Spencer and Taloa in a tight circle – staying just a few feet away from them.
7 There was no legitimate reason for this conduct. Reed believes that this is a
8 tactic used by the Bay Boys to harass people.²⁴ Blakeman impeded
9 Spencer’s movement in any direction and was intentionally blocking him
10 from catching any waves. It was clear to Spencer that Blakeman was not
11 there to surf that morning. Instead, his mission was to prevent Spencer and
12 Taloa from surfing and to keep them from enjoying their time in the water,
13 the open space, the waves, and nature. This type of concerted effort was
14 described by Charlie Ferrara to Reed as the way the Bay Boys act to keep
15 people from surfing at Lunada Bay. In the approximately 90 minutes that
16 Spencer was in the water that day, Blakeman was focused on Spencer and
17 Taloa and continued to shadow their movements and sit uncomfortably
18 close to them. Spencer had never experienced anything like that before in
19 his life. It was bizarre but also incredibly frightening and disturbing. It
20 appeared to Spencer that Blakeman was coordinating his actions with a
21 group of guys who were standing in the Rock Fort, along with others in the
22 water. They were all talking to each other and it was clear they all knew

23 _____
24 ²³ [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)
25 [wars-lunada-bay-localism-video.](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/video/2015/may/18/california-surf-wars-lunada-bay-localism-video)

26 ²⁴ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant Papayans sent a text
27 message describing similar conduct: “We just had a kook out in the water
28 and me and Jack just sat on his ass.”

1 each other.

2 At one point while Spencer was in the water and was paddling west
3 out to the ocean, he saw a man surfing, coming in east towards the shore.
4 The Bay Boy ran over his hand/wrist that was holding his surfboard and one
5 of the fins on his surfboard sliced open his right wrist. Spencer has about a
6 half-inch scar from where this man ran him over. As soon as the Bay Boy
7 ran him over, he started berating Spencer, saying things like "what are you
8 fucking doing out here? I told you to go home. I should have run you over.
9 Why are you paddling in the sun glare where I can't see you?" The Bay Boy
10 was pretending that he didn't see Spencer but it was obvious that he did and
11 intentionally ran him over. With over 30 years of surfing experience, Spencer
12 knew that this collision was intentional on his part. Fearful of being further
13 injured at that point, and not wanting to get into an argument with him,
14 Spencer just paddled away. Spencer and Taloa caught one more wave after
15 that and then decided it was getting too dangerous to surf. More men started
16 showing up at the Rock Fort and Spencer and Taloa were growing
17 increasingly fearful for their safety. Spencer was also bleeding and in pain.
18 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
19 for class certification and the deposition of Spencer.

20 Christopher Taloa: As set forth above, Taloa and Spencer went surfing
21 at Lunada Bay and were harassed by Blakeman. Taloa witnessed Blakeman
22 shadowing Spencer's movement in the water. Blakeman was in the water
23 with four or five other Lunada Bay Locals. At one point, Blakeman paddled
24 toward Taloa, at which point Taloa told him that he was too close.
25 Blakeman replied, "This is the ocean. We are surfing. I can be wherever."
26 Taloa kept moving in the water, and Blakeman attempted to keep up with
27 him but was not in good enough shape to do so.

28

1 Jen Bell: The incident described above was witnessed by a woman
2 named Jen Bell who had gone to Lunada Bay that same day to photograph
3 a guy from Malibu. When she attempted sit down on the beach with her
4 pack, a man said: "You are practically sitting in a men's locker-room. You
5 don't make me feel comfortable." Bell continued to sit there for another 10
6 minutes because she refused to be intimidated but eventually decided to head
7 over to the fort because she saw another woman, Diana Reed, was taking
8 photos. Bell was in the Rock Fort when Blakeman and Johnston arrived. It
9 was obvious from the start that Johnston and Blakeman were there with the
10 intent to harass Reed. Johnston was making rude comments to both her and
11 Reed. Blakeman was putting the GoPro in their faces. Johnston was
12 chugging multiple beers and it was early in the morning. Johnston asked her
13 to help him with his wetsuit. He said "Can you help me with this?" and
14 handed her the leg of his wetsuit. Johnston made moaning sounds when
15 she took it like he was having an orgasm.

16 Jordan Wright: Wright attempted to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015
17 with Chris Claypool and Kenneth Claypool. He observed Blakeman
18 harassing Chris and Ken. Wright was sitting on the outside waiting his turn
19 for waves. By regular surfing norms, he had priority. He caught a 10- to 12-
20 foot-high wave and was up riding for several seconds. Alan Johnston
21 paddled the wrong way on this wave, dropped in on him going the wrong
22 way on the wave, and yelled, "Oh no, you don't!" Dropping in on a surfer
23 while going the wrong way violates normal surf etiquette. Johnston then
24 collided with Wright, and their leashes got tangled. After they surfaced from
25 the collision, Johnston then got close to Wright and yelled, "You had to
26 fucking take that wave, didn't you!" The next wave that came through then
27 broke Wright's leash plug and the board was carried into the rocks, which
28

1 destroyed a new surfboard. Wright had to swim in over rocks to get his
2 board and cut his hands on the rocks doing so. Wright is confident that
3 Johnston attempted to purposefully injure him. What he did was extremely
4 dangerous.

5 Wright has observed Blakeman on many occasions. Blakeman is easy
6 to identify because he rides a kneeboard and he is regularly filming visitors
7 on land with a camcorder. Wright believes his filming is an effort to intimidate
8 visitors. In the water, Wright has observed what appears to be Blakeman
9 directing other Bay Boys to sit close to visiting surfers. Wright has observed
10 Bay Boys who seem to be assigned to visiting surfers—they'll sit too close to
11 the visitors, impede their movements, block their surfing, kick at them,
12 splash water at them, and dangerously drop in on them. In addition to
13 Blakeman, he has seen Michael Papayans, Sang Lee, Alan Johnston,
14 Charlie Ferrara, and David Melo engage in this activity. These incidents are
15 described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class
16 certification.

17 Ken Claypool: has been harassed and filmed by Blakeman in an
18 attempt to intimidate him at Lunada Bay on multiple occasions. In January
19 2015, Claypool and his brother Chris Claypool along with Jordan Wright
20 went to surf Lunada Bay. There were about five Lunada Bay locals in the
21 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and threatened them. Claypool
22 observed Blakeman intentionally drop in on Wright at least twice.

23 On February 5, 2016, Claypool went to Lunada Bay with Chris Taloa
24 and Jordan Wright. There was a photographer from the Los Angeles Times
25 that was there. Also in attendance was Cory Spencer and Diana Reed.
26 Spencer was there to watch the cars. Blakeman was there filming in an
27 effort to intimidate visitors. Blakeman can be seen in one of the pictures
28

1 taken by the photographer. Also present was Defendant Papayans. Plaintiffs
2 are informed and believe that there was a text message sent that day to
3 Papayans, Michael Thiel and 11 other people stating that there were 5
4 kooks standing on the bluff taking pictures, including Taloa. Plaintiffs are
5 informed that the text states: "Things could get ugly. We all need to surf."
6 These incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion
7 for class certification.

8 Chris Claypool: he and his brother Ken and Jordan Wright attempted
9 to surf Lunada Bay in January 2015. There were about five locals in the
10 water, including Blakeman who paddled over and was yelling, "Try and catch
11 a wave and see what happens. There is no fucking way you are getting a
12 wave. Just go in. Just go. You better not cut me off." Blakeman looked
13 possessed or possibly on drugs. His behavior got more bizarre throughout
14 the morning. He seemed to be paddling for every wave that he could
15 physically push himself into, perhaps to make a point, but he was wiping out
16 a lot and falling down the face and tumbling across the rock reef. Blakeman
17 looked dangerous to himself. When Blakeman would actually catch a wave
18 in, he would paddle back to where Claypool and his brother were sitting, and
19 continue his insane rant. On one occasion, Blakeman came less than 12
20 inches from Claypool's ear and was screaming. It was so loud, Claypool had
21 to put his fingers in his ear to protect them from being damaged. Claypool is
22 a sound engineer and to put this in perspective, a rock concert creates about
23 120 decibels of noise - this was louder; a jet engine creates about 150
24 decibels. At one point Blakeman caught a wave and drew a line aiming right
25 at Claypool. Another Bay Boy tried the same thing and said "mother fucker"
26 as he narrowly missed Claypool's head. Claypool watched as Blakeman
27 intentionally dropped in on Jordan at least twice. It seemed obvious to
28

1 Claypool that Blakeman and the other Bay Boy wanted to make sure none of
2 them were having fun. Because of the danger, they decided to leave.

3 When Claypool and his brother got out of water, they saw people
4 gathering on top of the cliff. One person was videotaping them from the top
5 of the cliff; it was clear to Claypool that he was doing this to try and
6 intimidate them. The people were watching them from the cliff. It was
7 obvious that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort with other Bay Boys to
8 obstruct his free passage and use in the customary manner of a public
9 space. It also seemed clear that Blakeman engaged in a concerted effort
10 with other Bay Boys to try and injure him. These incidents are described in
11 the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

12 Jason Gersch: While observing the surf, Gersch was approached by
13 two local Bay Boys named Peter McCollum and Brant Blakeman. These
14 individuals made it known to Gersch that he could not surf there. These
15 incidents are described in the declarations filed with Plaintiffs' motion for
16 class certification.

17 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that
18 Defendant Blakeman and his attorneys are attempting to intimidate
19 witnesses in this case. On at least two occasions, an investigator hired by
20 Blakeman's attorneys contacted witnesses represented by Plaintiffs'
21 attorneys. The investigator also showed up at the home of a reporter that
22 has not been listed as a witness.

23 The request is premature. Because Blakeman and the other
24 defendants are refusing to comply with their obligations to produce
25 documents under the federal rules and are impermissibly withholding
26 evidence and/or possibly spoliating evidence, we are not able to fully
27 respond to discovery requests which necessarily rely on our ability to fully
28

1 investigate the facts. As discovery is continuing, Reed reserves the right to
2 update this response.

3 Witness Contact Information

4 Defendant Brant Blakeman, represented by Veatch Carlson LLP and
5 Buchalter Nemer APC.

6 Defendant Alan Johnston a/k/a Jalian Johnston, represented by Law Offices
7 of J. Patrick Carey.

8 Defendant Michael Ray Papayans, represented by Haven Law.

9 Defendant Sang Lee, represented by Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
10 and Booth, Mitchel & Strange LLP.

11 Defendant Angelo Ferrara, represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields,
12 APC and The Phillips Firm.

13 Defendant N.F., represented by Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC.

14 Defendant Frank Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
15 LLP.

16 Defendant Charlie Ferrara, represented by Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara
17 LLP.

18 David Melo, represented by Ken Gaugh, Attorney at Law.

19 The following witnesses are represented by Hanson Bridget LLP and Otten
20 Law PC: Plaintiff Cory Spencer, Plaintiff Diana Reed, Christopher Taloa,
21 Jordan Wright, Ken Claypool, Chris Claypool, Jason Gersch, John MacHarg.
22 Charles Thomas Mowat aka "Chach." Contact info believed to be: 2337 Via
23 Rivera, Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274.

24 Thomas J. Sullivan Jr. Contact info believed to be: 617 Paseo Lunado,
25 Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274, (310) 947-0087, sully@fire-usa.com.

26 David Yoakley. Contact info believed to be: 2850 Winlock Rd., Torrance,
27 CA, 310-963-6889.

28

1 Andy Patch. Contact info believed to be (310) 213-1505,
2 patchman@socal.rr.com.
3 Josh Berstein. Contact info believed to be (310) 351-8004.
4 Brad Travers. Contact info believed to be (310) 704-7393.
5 Jen Bell, address unknown (323) 308-9326.
6 Michael Thiel. Contact info believed to be: 841 Rivera Place, Palos Verdes
7 Estates, CA 90274; (310) 480-3027.

8
9
10 DATED: March 2, 2017

OTTEN LAW, PC

11
12
13
14
15 By: /s/Victor Otten
16 VICTOR OTTEN
17 KAVITA TEKCHANDANI
18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19 CORY SPENCER, DIANA MILENA
20 REED, and COASTAL PROTECTION
21 RANGERS, INC.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VERIFICATION

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)]

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I, Diana Milena Reed, have read **PLAINTIFF DIANA MILENA REED SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT BRANT BLAKEMAN** and know its contents.

I am a party to this action and I make this verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 2, 2017, at Malibu (City), California.



DIANA MILENA REED

Case No. 2:16-cv-02129-SJO (RAOx)

VERIFICATION OF
DIANA MILENA REED'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESP. TO BRANT BLAKEMAN'S FIRST SET OF
INTERROGATORIES

1 PROOF OF SERVICE

2 *Spencer, et al. v. Lunada Bay Boys, et al.*

3 U.S.D.C. for the Central District of California

4 Case No. 2:16-cv-02129-SJO (RAOx)

5 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

6 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to
7 this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
8 My business address is: 3620 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100, Torrance,
9 CA 90505.

10 On March 2, 2017, I served the original or a true copy of the following
11 document(s) described as:

12 **PLAINTIFF DIANA MILENA REED'S (FURTHER) SUPPLEMENTAL
13 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE PROPOUNDED BY
14 DEFENDANT BRANT BLAKEMAN**

15 on the interested parties in this action as follows:

16 **SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST**

17 **BY MAIL:** I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or
18 package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List
19 and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary
20 business practices. I am readily familiar with Hanson Bridgett LLP's practice
21 for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day
22 that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in
23 the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a
24 sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

25 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States
26 of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in
27 the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service
28 was made.

Executed on **March 2, 2017**, at Torrance, California.

/s/ Victor Otten

Victor Otten

SERVICE LIST
Spencer, et al. v. Lunada Bay Boys, et al.
U.S.D.C. for the Central District of California
Case No. 2:16-cv-02129-SJO (RAOx)

Robert T. Mackey, Esq. (Attorneys for Defendant BRANT
Peter H. Crossin, Esq. BLAKEMAN)
Richard P. Dieffenbach, Esq.
John P. Worgul, Esq. (served original)
VEATCH CARLSON, LLP
1055 Wilshire Blvd., 11th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Robert S. Cooper, Esq. (Attorneys for Defendant BRANT
BUCHALTER NEMER, APC BLAKEMAN)
1000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1500
Los Angeles, CA 90017 (served true copy)

J. Patrick Carey, Esq. (Attorney for Defendant ALAN
LAW OFFICES OF JOHNSTON a/k/a JALIAN
J. PATRICK CAREY JOHNSTON)
1230 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 300
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 (served true copy)

Peter T. Haven, Esq. (Attorney for Defendant MICHAEL
HAVEN LAW RAY PAPAYANS)
1230 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 300
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 (served true copy)

Dana Alden Fox, Esq. (Attorneys for Defendant SANG LEE)
Edward E. Ward, Jr., Esq.
Eric Y. Kizirian, Esq. (served true copy)
Tera Lutz, Esq.
LEWIS BRISBOIS
BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
633 W. 5th Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, CA 90071

1 Daniel M. Crowley, Esq. *(Attorneys for Defendant SANG LEE)*
2 BOOTH, MITCHEL &
3 STRANGE LLP *(served true copy)*
4 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4450
5 Los Angeles, CA 90017

5 Mark C. Fields, Esq. *(Attorney for Defendant ANGELO*
6 LAW OFFICES OF *FERRARA and Defendant N. F.*
7 MARK C. FIELDS, APC *appearing through Guardian Ad Litem,*
8 333 South Hope Street, 35th Floor *Leonora Ferrara)*
9 Los Angeles, CA 90071
10 *(served true copy)*

10 Thomas M. Phillip, Esq. *(Attorneys for Defendant ANGELO*
11 Aaron G. Miller, Esq. *FERRARA)*
12 THE PHILLIPS FIRM
13 800 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1550 *(served true copy)*
14 Los Angeles, CA 90017

14 Patrick Au, Esq. *(Attorneys for Defendants FRANK*
15 Laura L. Bell, Esq. *FERRARA and CHARLIE FERRARA)*
16 BREMER WHYTE
17 BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP *(served true copy)*
18 21271 Burbank Blvd., Suite 110
19 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

19 Edwin J. Richards, Esq. *(Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF*
20 Antoinette P. Hewitt, Esq. *PALOS VERDES and CHIEF OF*
21 Rebecca L. Wilson, Esq. *POLICE JEFF KEPLEY)*
22 Jacob Song, Esq. *(served true copy)*
23 Christopher D. Glos, Esq.
24 KUTAK ROCK LLP
25 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500
26 Irvine, CA 92614-8595